

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/674,103

Applicant(s)

ANDERSON ET AL.

Examiner

Elizabeth A. Plummer

Art Unit

3635

All Participants:

(1) Elizabeth A. Plummer.

(2) Keith Campbell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Previously cited double patenting and 103 rejections

Claims discussed:

1, 14 and 43

Prior art documents discussed:

Moon et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to include additional limitations into claims 1 and 43 in order to overcome the double patenting rejection.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)